Family Name	Mitchell
Given Name	Shirley
Person ID	1286809
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Mitchell
Given Name	Shirley
Person ID	1286809
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons -	Green Belt
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be	The site is not justified, not properly prepare and not consistent with national policy because brownfield sites have not be considered fist and those lands can be built to a higher density There is no justification to build 450 executive houses. Sites need normal family houses, first time owner houses, and small houses for older people no longer needing multiple bedrooms. The site fails to comply with PfE objectives 7 and 8 and 6 out of the 7 Site Selrction criteria. It is not consistent with sustainable development.
as precise as possible.	Traffic
	Not consistent with moving to a low carbon economy. The site is not justified and not consistent with national policy.
	Metro is not accessible nor train station
	The air quality will be affected. Primary school nearby.
	Existing roads will not accommodate resulting traffic of 900 cars
	The site fails to comply with PfE objective 9 The site is not consistent with national policy and is not justified. There needs to be sufficient school places available. Schools now are at capacity.
	Making Norden Rd one way will force all traffic down one road. Air quality and connection of traffic made worse.
	Flooding. Assessment of flood risk does not fit with reality. The site is heavy clay and the The Springs. Building on green belt means lots of concrete covering open green fields, removing hedgerows and mature trees that help to soak away flood waters. A great risk of flood waters will result.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you	There is no way the plan will work I want the future of this Green Belt to be protected for future generations.

consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Mitchell
Given Name	Shirley
Person ID	1286809
Title	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	houses. Sites need normal family houses, first time owner houses, and small houses for older people no longer needing multiple bedrooms. The site fails to comply with PfE objectives 7 and 8 and 6 out of the 7 Site Selrction criteria. It is not consistent with sustainable development.
	Traffic Not consistent with moving to a low carbon economy. The site is not justified and not consistent with national policy.
	Metro is not accessible nor train station
	The air quality will be affected. Primary school nearby.
	Existing roads will not accommodate resulting traffic of 900 cars The site fails to comply with PfE objective 9 The site is not consistent with national policy and is not justified. There needs to be sufficient school places available. Schools now are at capacity.
	Making Norden Rd one way will force all traffic down one road. Air quality and connection of traffic made worse.
	Flooding. Assessment of flood risk does not fit with reality. The site is heavy clay and the The Springs. Building on green belt means lots of concrete covering open green fields, removing hedgerows and mature trees that help to soak away flood waters. A great risk of flood waters will result.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the	There is no way the plan will work I want the future of this Green Belt to be protected for future generations. The modification we are seeking is JPA 19 Bamford/Noreden to be REMOVED from the PfE

plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.